MELINDA HAAG (CASBN 132612) 1 United States Attorney 2 MIRANDA KANE (CASBN 150630) FILED Chief, Criminal Division 3 JEFFREY B. SCHENK (CASBN 234355) 4 Assistant United States Attorney JAN 062011 5 150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 6 Telephone: (408) 535-2695 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 7 Email: jeffrey.b.schenk@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for the United States of America 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN JOSE DIVISION 13 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR 10-00551-HRL No. 15 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND TAXABLE ORDER CONTINUING HEARING 16 AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM V. JANUARY 19, 2011 TO JANUARY 20 JOSEPH PATINO, 17 2011 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § Defendant. 18 3161(h)(7)(A)) 19 20 Currently, the parties are scheduled to appear before this Court on January 19, 2011. The parties agree and stipulate that the court appearance currently scheduled for January 19 should 21 be continued to January 20, 2011. This continuance is necessary to allow for additional time for 22 the defense counsel to effectively prepare and advise her client. The government and defense 23 request an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act based upon the above-stated reasons. 24 Furthermore, with advice from the Court, the parties request that this matter be set at 1:30PM on 25 January 20, 2011. 26 The parties stipulate that the time between January 19, 2011 and January 20, 2011 is 27 28 excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the

Case 5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document 16 Filed 01/06/11 Page 2 of 3 Case 5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document 15 Filed 01/06/11 Page 2 of 3

requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). DATED: January 6, 2011 **MELINDA HAAG** United States Attorney /s/ JEFFREY B. SCHENK Assistant United States Attorney LARA VINNARD Attorney for Defendant

Case 5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document 16 Filed 01/06/11 Page 3 of 3 Case 5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document 15 Filed 01/06/11 Page 3 of 3

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between January 19, 2011 and January 20, 2011 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C.

§3161(h)(7)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

HOWARD R. LILOYD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1 2

4